	Case 1:23-cv-00021-JLT-BAM Documen	nt 21 Filed 03/15/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JUAN MANUEL DE LA TORRE,	Case No. 1:23-cv-0021 JLT BAM
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING THE FINDINGS AND
13		RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
14	v.	JUDGMENT, DENYING DEFENDANT'S REQUEST TO AFFIRM, AND REMANDING
15	MARTIN O'MALLEY, Commissioner of Social Security, ¹	FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)
16	Defendant.	ORDER DIRECTING ENTRY OF JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF JUAN MANUEL
17 18		DE LA TORRE AND AGAINST DEFENDANT MARTIN O'MALLEY, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY
19		(Docs. 19, 21, 22)
20	Juan Manuel De La Torre initiated this action seeking judicial review of a final decision	
21	of the Commissioner of Social Security denying his application for disability insurance and	
22	supplemental security income benefits. (Doc. 1.) The assigned magistrate judge determined the	
23	administrative law judge erred in evaluating the medical record and failed to properly evaluate the	
24	persuasiveness of a medical opinion. (Doc. 20 at 7-11.) The magistrate judge found "the ALJ's	
25	decision is not supported by substantial evidence in the record as a whole and is not based on	
26 27	proper legal standards. (Id. at 12.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended Plaintiff's	
28	¹ Martin O'Malley became the Commissioner of Social Security on December 20, 2023. Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Martin O'Malley is substituted as the defendant in this suit.	

1 motion for summary judgment be granted, the administrative decision be reversed, and the 2 judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff. (*Id.*) 3 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations and notified the parties that any 4 objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 20 at 12.) The Court advised the parties that the 5 failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. (Id., citing Wilkerson 6 v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) Neither party filed objections, and the time to do 7 so has passed. 8 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court conducted a *de novo* review of the case. 9 Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations 10 are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**: 11 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on February 28, 2024 (Doc. 20) are 12 **ADOPTED** in full. 13 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 15) is **GRANTED**. 14 3. Defendant's request to affirm the decision (Doc. 18) is **DENIED**. 4. 15 The matter is **REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for 16 further proceedings. 17 5. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Juan Manuel 18 De La Torre, and against Defendant Martin O'Malley, Commissioner of Social 19 Security, and to close this case. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: **March 15, 2024** 22 23 24 25 26

Case 1:23-cv-00021-JLT-BAM Document 21 Filed 03/15/24 Page 2 of 2

27

28